

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,093	10/700,093 11/03/2003		Peter S. Aronstam	014-30752-USCP	2822	
24923	7590	07/01/2005		EXAMINER		
PAUL S MA	ADAN		STONE, JENNIFER A			
MADAN, M	OSSMAN	I & SRIRAM, PC				
2603 AUGU	STA, SUI	TE 700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON.	•		2636			

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				4				
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	10/700	,093	ARONSTAM ET A	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
			r A. Stone	2636				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum sour cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a repetatutory minimum of thirty I will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely  HS from the mailing date of this co	<i>r.</i> mmunication.			
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□	,	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) 🔲								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 40-47 and 49-58 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-39,48 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 59 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>March 29, 20</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected transport of the oath or declaration is objected to	004 is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s g the correction is requ	) be held in abeyand uired if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Ap ments have been r cule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	it(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	27.0.040)		ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/4/05&amp;2/18/04</u> .			/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO _·	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,093

Art Unit: 2636

## Claim Objections

Page 2

1. <u>Claim 59</u> is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 59 cannot depend from a non-existing claim (Claim 60). Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-39, and 48 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2-14, 21, 23, 24-28, respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,891,477. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is little distinction between a "pipeline having a fluid flow" and a "flow conduit having a through passage and a fluid flow". In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made that an interrogation device adapted to move proximate a measurement station could do so by several means, including a flow propelled interrogation device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. <u>Claims 1-59</u> are allowed based on correction to the claim objection (see above) and a timely filed terminal disclaimer.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Menger (US 2004/0257241) discloses monitoring a pipeline where an interrogation device measures a parameter of interest and sends data to a from a messenger module transmitter/receiver attached to a tool.

Austin et al. (US 6,498,568) discloses a pipeline communication system where a pipeline forms a communication network.

Layton (US 6,798,338) discloses an RF communication system within a borehole where sensors within the borehole measure parameters and transmit sensor data to surface personnel.

Schultz et al. (US 6,538,576) discloses a sensor-monitoring device embedded within a well, where an interrogation device transmits an interrogation signal to the sensor and receives data from the sensor.

Doany (US 6,377,203) discloses a system for tagging, locating, and reading sections of a pipeline where RFID tags are inserted within a pipeline and data from the tags is transmitted to a surface receiver.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,093

Art Unit: 2636

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Stone whose telephone number is (571)

272.2976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass, can be reached at (571) 272.2981. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Stone June 17, 2005

JEFFER HORSASS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4